

Privacy Impact Assessment for the VA IT System called:

Tissue and Implant Tracking and Inventory Management Assessing

Healthcare Environment and Logistics Management

eMASS ID # 991

Date PIA submitted for review:

08/12/2024

System Contacts:

System Contacts

| | Name | E-mail | Phone Number |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Privacy Officer | Phillip Cauthers | Phillip.cauthers@va.gov | 503-721-1037 |
| Information System Security Officer (ISSO) | ChrysAnn Higginbotham | ChrysAnn.Higginbotham@va.gov | (573) 239-0486 |
| Information System Owner | Alisa Riebe | Alisa.Riebe@va.gov | (414) 384-2000 ext 46824 |

Abstract

The abstract provides the simplest explanation for "what does the system do?".

TrackCore Surgical Suite, the commercial name for Tissue and Implant Tracking and Inventory Management Assessing, is a Commercial Off the Shelf, (COTS), product authored and maintained for the VA by TrackCore Incorporated. The system abbreviation is TAITAM. It is used to maintain a master index of surgically implanted devices and to track when and which implants, (brand, model number, serial number), are implanted into VA patients. Another function is to provide recall information from implant manufacturers to provide alerts as to what devices need to be surgically removed from patients who received the recalled device.

Overview

The overview is the most important section of the PIA. A thorough and clear overview gives the reader the appropriate context to understand the responses in the PIA. The overview should contain the following elements:

- 1 General Description
 - A. What is the IT system name and the name of the program office that owns the IT system? Tissue and Implant Tracking and Inventory Management Assessing (TAITAM), Healthcare Environment and Logistics Management, (HELM).
 - B. What is the business purpose of the program, IT system, or technology and how it relates to the program office and agency mission?

The Tissue and Implant Tracking and Inventory Management Assessing system, eMASS short system name TAITAM, commercially known as TrackCore Surgical Suite is a COTS (Commercial off the Shelf) software package that is installed in various architectures throughout the VHA system. TrackCore is a product used to track the inventory and history of surgically implanted devices such as grafts, stents, pacemakers, and artificial joints. In some cases, it is installed at a single VHA facility, in others, it is

installed as large single instance supporting all the VHA facilities within an entire VISN or Area. Many instances of this software predate the VA IT Process Request (VIPR) and Authority to Operate (ATO) process. The VHA continues to create new contracts as the use of TrackCore is expanded. TrackCore has also been selected as the tissue and implant tracking solution within the IBM-Cerner Electronic Health Records Management System.

This particular System and ATO boundary include those VHA facilities using TrackCore that have migrated to the VAEC MS Azure high cloud instance. It does not include the IBM-Cerner instance of TrackCore nor the individual VHA facilities that may still be operating their own instance of TrackCore.

Each VHA facility or Area using TrackCore procures the licenses to use the product. VHA is the overall system owner. TrackCore Inc. supplies the COTS application and maintains the VAEC-hosted TrackCore instance. The VAEC MS Azure high cloud maintains the cloud per their FedRAMP ATO. Cost of the cloud credits is under the franchise funds under OI&T's budget.

C. Who is the owner or control of the IT system or project?

TrackCore is licensed for use by contracts with the individual VHA facilities that use it and licensing is paid for by VHA funding. The Trackcore software is supplied and maintained by TrackCore Inc on the VAEC MS Azure high cloud. The hosting of this TrackCore instance is funded by OI&T.

- 2. Information Collection and Sharing
 - D. What is the expected number of individuals whose information is stored in the system and a brief description of the typical client or affected individual?

There is no limit to the number of patients who have surgical implants recorded in TrackCore. Currently there are 188,368 patient records in the system. This number will grow as more sites utilize this program and as time goes on. Any VHA facility that uses TrackCore will record simple patient data within the system, (Name, SSN or patient ID, date of surgery, exact device implanted, and serial number of the device.) The remainder of patient data will be found within the VISTA record of the surgery.

- E. What is a general description of the information in the IT system and the purpose for collecting this information?
 - TrackCore stores the master device list, (Manufacturer, Model number, device type, etc.) on all surgical implant devices carried by the VHA.
 - Simple patient data receiving the implant is included, patient name, SSN or Patient ID, Date of birth, gender (optional) VHA facility performing the surgery, date of implant.)
 - Simple VA employee information, Name, Role within TrackCore surgical or nursing staff, inventory personnel, etc.
- F. What information sharing conducted by the IT system? A general description of the modules and subsystems, where relevant, and their functions.

No information is shared with internal or external VA systems.

G. Is the system is operated in more than one site, and if so, a description of how use of the system and PII is maintained consistently in all sites and if the same controls are used across sites?

Although used at various VHA facilities across the nation, the architecture employs a single VAEC MS Azure cloud-hosted instance of the database. Each VHA facility accesses their data via secure login via the employee being in special active directory accounts. Therefore, the PII and access controls are centralized with one set of rules within the single database.

3. Legal Authority and SORN

H. What is the citation of the legal authority to operate the IT system?

SORN 121VA10 "National Patient Databases – VA". Authority for maintenance of the system: 38 U.S.C 501. https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-04-12/pdf/2023-07638.pdf.

I. If the system is in the process of being modified and a SORN exists, will the SORN require amendment or revision and approval? If the system is using cloud technology, does the SORN for the system cover cloud usage or storage?

The SORN does not need to be modified due to changes with this system. The SORN permits cloud storage to be used.

4. System Changes

J. Will the completion of this PIA will result in circumstances that require changes to business processes?

No

K. Will the completion of this PIA could potentially result in technology changes?

Section 1. Characterization of the Information

The following questions are intended to define the scope of the information requested and collected as well as the reasons for its collection as part of the program, IT system, or technology being developed.

1.1 What information is collected, used, disseminated, created, or maintained in the system?

Identify and list all Sensitive Personal Information (SPI) that is collected and stored in the system, including Individually Identifiable Information (III), Individually Identifiable Health Information (IIHI), Protected Health Information (PHI), and Privacy- Protected Information. For additional information on these information types and definitions, please see VA Directives and Handbooks in the 6500 series (https://vaww.va.gov/vapubs/). If the system creates information (for example, a score, analysis, or report), list the information the system is responsible for creating.

If a requesting system receives information from another system, such as a response to a background check, describe what information is returned to the requesting system.

This question is related to privacy control AP-1, Authority To Collect, and AP-2, Purpose Specification.

The information selected below must match the information provided in question 2.1 as well as the data elements columns in 4.1 and 5.1. It must also match the information provided in question 3.4 of the PTA.

| Please check any information listed be | low that your system collects, uses, d | isseminates, creates, or |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| maintains. If additional SPI is collected | d, used, disseminated, created, or mai | ntained, please list those in |
| the text box below: | | |
| Name Nam | Health Insurance | Integrated Control |
| Social Security | Beneficiary Numbers | Number (ICN) |
| Number | Account numbers | ☐Military |
| □ Date of Birth | Certificate/License | History/Service |
| ☐ Mother's Maiden Name | numbers ¹ | Connection |
| Personal Mailing | Vehicle License Plate | ☐ Next of Kin |
| Address | Number | Other Data Elements |
| Personal Phone | Internet Protocol (IP) | (list below) |
| Number(s) | Address Numbers | |
| Personal Fax Number | Medications | |
| Personal Email | Medical Records | |
| Address | Race/Ethnicity | |
| Emergency Contact | Tax Identification | |
| Information (Name, Phone | Number | |
| Number, etc. of a different | Medical Record | |
| <u>ind</u> ividual) | Number | |
| Financial Information | ⊠ Gender | |
| Other PII/PHI data elements: | Date of Surgery, Serial number of devi | ce implanted, Role |

PII Mapping of Components (Servers/Database)

(TAITAM role type)

Tissue and Implant Tracking and Inventory Management consists of two key components (servers/databases/instances/applications/software/application programming interfaces (API). Each component has been analyzed to determine if any elements of that component collect PII. The type of PII collected by Tissue and Implant Tracking and Inventory Management and the reasons for the collection of the PII are in the table below.

Note: Due to the PIA being a public facing document, please do not include server names in the table. The first table of 3.9 in the PTA should be used to answer this question.

Internal Components Table

| Component Name (Database, Instances, | Does this system | Does this system | Type of PII (SSN, DOB, | Reason for Collection/ | Safeguards |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Application, | collect | store PII? | etc.) | Storage of | |
| Software, Application | | (Yes/No) | | PII | |

¹ *Specify type of Certificate or License Number (e.g., Occupational, Education, Medical)

| Program Interface (API) etc.) that contains PII/PHI | PII? (Yes/No) | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Microsoft SQL Server | Yes | Yes | Name, Full SSN, Gender, DOB | To track a medical implant to the patient | TLS encrypted in transit and at rest, info never leaves VA network |
| VAEC Microsoft Azure Cloud | Yes | Yes | Name, Full SSN, Gender, DOB | To track a medical implant to the patient | TLS encrypted in transit and at rest, info never leaves VA network |

1.2 What are the sources of the information in the system?

These questions are related to privacy controls DI-1, Data Quality, and IP-1, Consent.

1.2a List the individual, entity, or entities providing the specific information identified above. For example, is the information collected directly from the individual as part of an application for a benefit, or is it collected from other sources such as commercial data aggregators?

The information is manually entered into the system based off the CPRS record and/or patient wrist band. The patient personally verifies their identity on the wristband and therefore the actual CPRS record.

1.2b Describe why information from sources other than the individual is required? For example, if a program's system is using data from a commercial aggregator of information or data taken from public Web sites, state the fact that this is where the information is coming from and then in question indicate why the system is using this source of data.

Per policy, the patient or guardian verifies the patient's identity on the armband (which comes from the CPRS) as the armband is placed on the patient, thereby patient verifies the data.

1.2c Does the system create information (for example, a score, analysis, or report), list the system as a source of information?

No scores or analysis are involved.

1.3 How is the information collected?

These questions are related to privacy controls DI-1, Data Quality, and IP-1, Consent.

1.3a This question is directed at the means of collection from the sources listed in question 1.2. Information may be collected directly from an individual, received via electronic transmission from another system, or created by the system itself. Specifically, is information collected through technologies or other technologies used in the storage or transmission of information in identifiable form?

The information is manually entered into the system based off the CPRS record and/or patient wrist band.

1.3b If the information is collected on a form and is subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, what is the form's OMB control number and the agency form number?

The information is entered directly into TrackCore. No forms needed.

1.4 How will the information be checked for accuracy? How often will it be checked?

These questions are related to privacy controls DI-1, Data Quality, and DI-2, Data Integrity and Integrity Board.

1.4a Discuss whether and how often information stored in the system is checked for accuracy. Is information in the system checked against any other source of information (within or outside your organization) before the information is used to make decisions about an individual? For example, is there a computer matching agreement in place with another government agency? For systems that receive data from internal data sources or VA IT systems, describe the system checks to ensure that data corruption has not occurred during transmission.

The information entered in the TAITAM system after an implant is used is later verified by other designated VA staff against the medical record entry for the implant(s) when the prosthetics consult for payment is placed.

1.4b Does the system check for accuracy by accessing a commercial aggregator of information, describe this process and the levels of accuracy required by the contract?

No.

1.5 What specific legal authorities, arrangements, and agreements defined the collection of information?

List the full legal authority for operating the system, specifically the authority to collect the information listed in question 1.1. Provide the authorities in a manner understandable to any potential reader, i.e., do not simply provide a legal citation; use statute names or regulations in addition to citations. Legal authorities include Federal laws, regulations, statutes, and Executive Orders. This question is related to privacy control AP-1, Authority to Collect

Per the FDA (21 CFR Part 821 Subpart C Sec. 821.30 b3) non-biological implants/devices must be able to be traced to the specific patient in which the implants/devices were placed.

https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?CFRPart=821&showFR=1&subpartNode=21:8.0.1.1.13.3. 21 U.S.C. 331, 351, 352, 360, 360e, 360h, 360i, 371, 374; 21 CFR 821

<u>eCFR</u> :: 21 CFR Part 803 -- Medical Device Reporting: establishes the requirements for medical device reporting for device *user facilities*, manufacturers, importers, and distributors.

SORN 121VA10 "National Patient Databases – VA". Authority for maintenance of the system: 38 U.S.C 501. https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-04-12/pdf/2023-07638.pdf.

1.6 PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT: Characterization of the information

Consider the specific data elements collected and discuss the potential privacy risks and what steps, if any are currently being taken to mitigate those identified risks. (Work with your System ISSO to complete this section)

Consider the following Fair Information Practice Principles (FIPPs) when assessing the risk to individual privacy:

<u>Principle of Purpose Specification:</u> Explain how the collection ties with the purpose of the underlying mission of the organization and its enabling authority.

<u>Principle of Minimization:</u> Is the information directly relevant and necessary to accomplish the specific purposes of the program?

<u>Principle of Individual Participation:</u> Does the program, to the extent possible and practical, collect information directly from the individual?

<u>Principle of Data Quality and Integrity:</u> Are there policies and procedures for VA to ensure that personally identifiable information is accurate, complete, and current? This question is related to privacy control AR-1, Governance and Privacy Program, and AR-2, Privacy Impact and Risk Assessment.

Follow the format below when entering your risk assessment:

Privacy Risk: Due to the sensitive nature of this data, there is a risk that, if the data were accessed by an unauthorized individual or otherwise breached, personal, professional, or financial harm may result for the individuals affected.

Mitigation: The Veterans Health Administration (VHA) employs a variety of security measures designed to ensure that information is not inappropriately disclosed or released. These measures include access control; awareness and training; audit and accountability; certification, accreditation, and security assessments; configuration management; contingency planning; identification and authentication; incident response; maintenance; media protection; physical and environmental protection; planning; personnel security; risk assessment; systems and services acquisition; system and communications protection; and system and information integrity. Our facility employs all security controls in the respective high impact security control baseline unless specific exceptions have been allowed based on the tailoring guidance provided in National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication 800-37 and specific VA directives.

Section 2. Uses of the Information

The following questions are intended to clearly delineate the use of information and the accuracy of the data being used.

2.1 Describe how the information in the system that will be used in support of the program's business purpose.

Identify and list each use (both internal and external to VA) of the information collected or maintained. This question is related to privacy control AP-2, Purpose Specification.

| PII/PHI Data Element | Internal Use | External Use |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Patient Name | Used as a patient identifier in | Not used |
| | the TAITAM system in | |
| | conjunction SSN for | |
| | confirmation of patient identity | |
| | for implant records and recall | |
| | actions. | |
| Social Security Number (Full | Used as a patient identifier in | Not used |
| SSN (required) | conjunction with patient name | |
| | for confirmation of patient | |
| | identity for implant records | |
| | and recall actions. | |
| Date of Birth (optional) | Used to identify age. | Not used |
| Gender (optional) | Used as patient demographic | Not used |

- **2.2** What types of tools are used to analyze data and what type of data may be produced? These questions are related to privacy controls DI-1, Data Quality, DI-2, Data Integrity and Integrity Board, and SE-1, Inventory of Personally Identifiable Information.
- 2.2a Many systems sift through large amounts of information in response to a user inquiry or programmed functions. Systems may help identify areas that were previously not obvious and need additional research by agents, analysts, or other employees. Some systems perform complex analytical tasks resulting in, among other types of data, matching, relational analysis, scoring, reporting, or pattern analysis. Describe any type of analysis the system conducts and the data that is created from the analysis?

The TAITAM system creates a case summary report for each patient procedure which lists all implants and corresponding information and links this data to a specific patient. This information is critical in identifying patients affected by a recall of an implantable product.

A "Consumed Items" and "Item Search" report enable the analysis of usage and costs associated with procedures and inventory. These reports allow for trending of products and tight inventory management.

2.2b If the system creates or makes available new or previously unutilized information about an individual, explain what will be done with the newly derived information. Will it be placed in the individual's existing record? Will a new record be created? Will any action be taken against or for the individual identified because of the newly derived data? If a new record is created, will the newly created information be accessible to Government employees who make determinations about the individual? If so, explain fully under which circumstances and by whom that information will be used.

No new data is created for a patient though there is a link between the patient via the PHI/PII and the surgically implanted device that is used. No new information is created for an employee using the system.

These questions are related to security and privacy controls SC-9, Transmission Confidentiality, and SC-28, Protection of Information at Rest.

- 2.3a What measures are in place to protect data in transit and at rest? TLS encryption is used in each.
- 2.3b If the system is collecting, processing, or retaining Social Security Numbers, are there additional protections in place to protect SSNs?

 None

2.3c How is PII/PHI safeguarded in accordance with OMB Memorandum M-06-15?

SSNs are collected or processed in notes fields. The other standard measures are used to protect including the above as well as "need to know" based security privilege. Only Authorized TrackCore users can access the system via PIV access and being on an approved mail group.

This is a shared control between the VAEC MS Azure High Cloud and the TAITAM team. The VAEC MS Azure High Cloud responses to these controls are located within the eMASS entry for that FedRAMP system.

2.4 PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT: Use of the information.

Describe any types of controls that may be in place to ensure that information is handled in accordance with the uses described above. Example: Describe if training for users of the project covers how to appropriately use information. Describe the disciplinary programs or system controls (i.e. denial of access) that are in place if an individual is inappropriately using the information.

Consider the following FIPPs below to assist in providing a response:

<u>Principle of Transparency:</u> Is the PIA and SORN, if applicable, clear about the uses of the information?

<u>Principle of Use Limitation:</u> Is the use of information contained in the system relevant to the mission of the project?

This question is related to privacy control AR-4, Privacy Monitoring and Auditing, AR-5, Privacy Awareness and Training, and SE-2, Privacy Incident response.

2.4a How is access to the PII determined?

All TAITAM user roles (RN, RN Manager or Lead, Super User, System Administrator) document implant usage in the system, manage inventory, manage vendors, or research patients for possible implants before MRI procedures.

Controls are in place to ensure data is used and protected in accordance with legal requirements, VA policies, and VA's stated purpose for using the data. Controls include mandatory training completion for all employees and contractors. Additionally, audits are performed to ensure information is accessed and retrieved appropriately. VA has implemented required security and privacy controls for Federal information systems and organizations according to NIST SP 800-53 and VA Handbook 6500, Risk Management Framework for VA Information Systems. VA OIT implements data protection assurances on all databases where patient information is stored. Limited system access is granted by VA OIT to ensure only those with need to know have access to any patient related data. VA OIT periodically audits user accounts and removes access to those who no longer need access or

have not used granted access in the previous audit period. All data collected, generated, and stored by the TAITAM system is the properly of VA and only used in VA controlled space.

- 2.4b Are criteria, procedures, controls, and responsibilities regarding access documented? Yes, all in the TAITAM Access Control Standard Operating Procedure.
- 2.4c Does access require manager approval?

Yes, access to VHA personnel requires local VHA facility approval. Access to the TrackCore contractors who administer the system is approved by the TrackCore Information System Owner.

2.4d Is access to the PII being monitored, tracked, or recorded?

Yes, the Implant Coordinator or facility designee can pull Staff Listing reports out of TrackCore to review active and inactive statues and add or remove access as appropriate.

2.4e Who is responsible for assuring safeguards for the PII?

All staff with access to the system as required by annual VA Privacy and Information Security Awareness and Rules of Behavior (WBT) and Privacy and HIPAA Training.

Section 3. Retention of Information

The following questions are intended to outline how long information will be retained after the initial collection.

3.1 What information is retained?

Identify and list all information collected from question 1.1 that is **retained** by the system. This question is related to privacy controls DM-1, Minimization of Personally Identifiable Information, and DM-2, Data Retention and Disposal

Name, Full SSN, Gender, DOB

3.2 How long is information retained?

In some cases, VA may choose to retain files in active status and archive them after a certain period of time. State active file retention periods, as well as archived records, in number of years, for the information and record types. For example, financial data held within your system may have a different retention period than medical records or education records held within your system, please be sure to list each of these retention periods. The VA records officer should be consulted early in the development process to ensure that appropriate retention and destruction schedules are implemented. If the system is using cloud technology, will it be following the NARA approved retention length and schedule? This question is related to privacy control DM-2, Data Retention and Disposal.

TAITAM follows VHA guidance as it pertains to retaining patients' health records for 75 years after last episode of medical care, as directed by the Department Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration Record Control Schedule (RCS) 10-1: http://www.va.gov/vhapublications/rcs10/rcs10-1.pdf.

3.3 Has the retention schedule been approved by the VA records office and the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)?

An approved records schedule must be obtained for any IT system that allows the retrieval of a record via a personal identifier. The VA records officer will assist in providing a proposed schedule. The schedule must be formally offered to NARA for official approval. Once NARA approves the proposed schedule, the VA records officer will notify the system owner. Please work with the system Privacy Officer and VA Records Officer to answer these questions. This question is related to privacy control DM-2, Data Retention and Disposal.

3.3a Are all records stored within the system of record indicated on an approved disposition authority?

The retention has been approved by the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). The guidance for retention of records is found in the RCS 10-1, and the National Archives and Records Administration. The RCS 10-1 can be found at: http://www.va.gov/vhapublications/rcs10/rcs10-1.pdf.

3.3b Please indicate each records retention schedule, series, and disposition authority?

All records containing SPI are electronic. The defined retention period is 75 years for all data maintained in the system, however, any data that is intentionally removed from the system would be overwritten multiple times with other data on the same media. If destruction of the electronic media is required the standard government protocols for destroying electronic media containing SPI would be followed as appropriate (e.g. overwrite, degauss, physical destruction) and documented and certified.

3.4 What are the procedures for the elimination or transfer of SPI?

Explain how records are destroyed, eliminated or transferred to NARA at the end of their mandatory retention period. Please give the details of the process. For example, are paper records shredded on site, or by a shredding company and accompanied by a certificate of destruction, etc.? This question is related to privacy control DM-2, Data Retention and Disposal.

TrackCore does not utilize or create paper records that need to be transferred to NARA. All records are stored in TrackCore for the life of the program. There is no mandatory end of life for the records stored within TrackCore. Any temporary paper records or notes with TrackCore information is destroyed per national and local VHA PII/PHI records policy.

3.5 Does the system, where feasible, use techniques to minimize the risk to privacy by using PII for research, testing, or training?

Organizations often use PII for testing new applications or information systems prior to deployment. Organizations also use PII for research purposes and for training. These uses of PII increase the risks associated with the unauthorized disclosure or misuse of the information. Please explain what controls have been implemented to pTrackCore does not utilize rotect PII used for testing, training and research. This question is related to privacy control DM-3, Minimization of PII Used in Testing, Training and Research.

When feasible, the TAITAM system uses fake patients for research, testing or training purposes. VHA Directive 1906 Data quality requirements for Healthcare Identity Management describes the requirements for using test patient information. Examples include "ZZZ Mickey Mouse" with an imitation/ Pseudo SSN "000-00-0000"

3.6 PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT: Retention of information

Discuss the risks associated with the length of time data is retained and what steps, if any, are currently being taken to mitigate those identified risks. (Work with your System ISSO to complete all Privacy Risk questions inside the document this section).

While we understand that establishing retention periods for records is a formal process, there are policy considerations behind how long a project keeps information. The longer a project retains information, the longer it needs to secure the information and assure its accuracy and integrity. The proposed schedule should match the requirements of the Privacy Act to keep the minimum amount of PII for the minimum amount of time, while meeting the Federal Records Act. The schedule should align with the stated purpose and mission of the system.

Consider the following FIPPs below to assist in providing a response:

<u>Principle of Minimization:</u> Does the project retain only the information necessary for its purpose? Is the PII retained only for as long as necessary and relevant to fulfill the specified purposes?

<u>Principle of Data Quality and Integrity:</u> Has the PIA described policies and procedures for how PII that is no longer relevant and necessary is purged?

This question is related to privacy controls DM-1, Minimization of Personally Identifiable Information, and DM-2, Data Retention and Disposal.

Follow the format below:

Privacy Risk: There is a risk that the information maintained for the TAITAM system could be retained for longer than is necessary to fulfill the VA mission. Records held longer than required are at greater risk of being unintentionally released or breached.

<u>Mitigation:</u> To mitigate the risk posed by information retention, TAITAM adheres to the VA RCS schedules for each category or data it maintains. When the retention data is reached for a record, the

medical center will carefully dispose of the data by the determined method as described in question 3.4. of VA Handbook 6500.2, "Management of Data Breaches Involving Sensitive Personal Information (SPI

Section 4. Internal Sharing/Receiving/Transmitting and Disclosure

The following questions are intended to define the scope of information sharing/receiving/transmitting within VA.

4.1 With which internal organizations is information shared/received/transmitted? What information is shared/received/transmitted, and for what purpose? How is the information transmitted?

Identify and list the names of any program offices, contractor-supported IT systems, and any other organization or IT system within VA with which information is shared.

State the purpose for the internal sharing. If you have specific authority to share the information, provide a citation to the authority.

For each interface with a system outside your program office, state what specific data elements (PII/PHI) are shared with the specific program office, contractor-supported IT system, and any other organization or IT system within VA.

Describe how the information is transmitted. For example, is the information transmitted electronically, by paper, or by some other means? Is the information shared in bulk, on a case-by-case basis, or does the sharing partner have direct access to the information? This question is related to privacy controls AP-2, Purpose Specification, AR-3, Privacy Requirements for Contractors and Service Providers, AR-8, Accounting of Disclosures, TR-1, Privacy Notice, and UL-1, Internal Use.

Data Shared with Internal Organizations

| List the Program | List the purpose of the | List the specific PII/PHI | Describe the |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Office or IT System | information being | data elements that are | method of |
| information is | shared /received with | processed | transmittal |
| shared/received with | the specified program | (shared/received/transmitted) | |
| | office or IT system | with the Program Office or | |
| | | IT system | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

4.2 PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT: Internal sharing and disclosure

Discuss the privacy risks associated with the sharing of information within the Department and what steps, if any, are currently being taken to mitigate those identified risks. (Work with your System ISSO to complete all Privacy Risk questions inside the document this section).

This question is related to privacy control UL-1, Internal Use.

Privacy Risk: There is a risk that information or data may be shared with unauthorized VA program or system.

Mitigation: Safeguards implemented to ensure data is not sent to the wrong VA organization are employee security and privacy training and awareness and required reporting of suspicious activity. Use of secure passwords, access for need-to-know basis, Personal Identification Verification (PIV) Cards, Personal identification Numbers (PIN), encryption, and access authorization are all measures that are utilized within the facilities.

Section 5. External Sharing/Receiving and Disclosure

The following questions are intended to define the content, scope, and authority for information sharing external to VA, which includes Federal, State, and local governments, and the private sector.

5.1 With which external organizations (outside VA) is information shared/received? What information is shared/received, and for what purpose? How is the information transmitted and what measures are taken to ensure it is secure?

Is the sharing of information outside the agency compatible with the original collection? If so, is it covered by an appropriate routine use in a SORN? If not, please describe under what legal mechanism the IT system is allowed to share the information in identifiable form or personally identifiable information outside of VA.

Identify and list the names of any Federal, State, or local government agency or private sector organization with which information is shared.

For each interface with a system outside VA, state what specific data elements (PII/PHI) are shared with each specific partner.

What legal mechanisms, authoritative agreements, documentation, or policies are in place detailing the extent of the sharing and the duties of each party? For example, is the sharing of data compatible with your SORN? Then list the SORN and the applicable routine use from the SORN. Is there a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), Computer Matching Agreement (CMA), or law that mandates the sharing of this information?

Describe how the information is transmitted to entities external to VA and what security measures have been taken to protect it during transmission.

This question is related to privacy control UL-2, Information Sharing with Third Parties

Data Shared with External Organizations

| List External | List the | List the specific PII/PHI data | List the legal | List the |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Program Office | purpose of | elements that are processed | authority, | method of |
| or IT System | information | (shared/received/transmitted)with the | binding | transmission |
| information is | being shared | Program or IT system | agreement, | and the |
| shared/received | / received / | | SORN routine | measures in |
| with | transmitted | | use, etc. that | place to secure |
| | with the | | permit | data |
| | specified | | external | |

| | program office or IT | | sharing (can be more than | |
|-----|-------------------------|-----|------------------------------|-----|
| | system | | one) | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

5.2 PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT: External sharing and disclosure

Discuss the privacy risks associated with the sharing of information outside the Department and what steps, if any, are currently being taken to mitigate those identified risks.

If no External Sharing listed on the table above, (State there is no external sharing in both the risk and mitigation fields).

Discuss whether access controls have been implemented and whether audit logs are regularly reviewed to ensure appropriate sharing outside of the Department. For example, is there a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), contract, or agreement in place with outside agencies or foreign governments.

Discuss how the sharing of information outside of the Department is compatible with the stated purpose and use of the original collection.

This question is related to privacy control AR-2, Privacy Impact and Risk Assessment, AR-3, Privacy Requirements for Contractors and Service Providers, and AR-4, Privacy Monitoring and Auditing

Follow the format below:

<u>Privacy Risk:</u> There is a risk that information may be shared with an external organization or agency that does not have a need or legal authority to access VA data.

<u>Mitigation:</u> Safeguards implemented to ensure data is not shared with unapproved or incorrect organizations are employee security and privacy training and awareness and required reporting of suspicious activity. Use of secure passwords, access for need-to-know basis, Personal Identification Verification (PIV) Cards, Personal Identification Numbers (PIN), encryption and access authorization are all measures that are utilized within the facilities. Standing letters for information exchange, business associate agreements and memorandums of understanding between agencies and VA are monitored closely by the Privacy Officer (PO) and Health Information Management Service (HIMS) to ensure protection of information.

Section 6. Notice

The following questions are directed at providing notice to the individual of the scope of information collected, the right to consent to uses of the information, and the right to decline to provide information.

6.1 Was notice provided to the individual before collection of the information? If yes, please provide a copy of the notice as an Appendix-A 6.1 on the last page of the document. Also provide notice given to individuals by the source system (A notice may include a posted privacy policy, a Privacy Act notice on forms, or a system of records notice published in the Federal Register.) If notice was not provided, why not?

These questions are related to privacy control TR-1, Privacy Notice, and TR-2, System of Records Notices and Privacy Act Statements, and TR-3, Dissemination of Privacy Program Information.

6.1a This question is directed at the notice provided before collection of the information. This refers to whether the person is aware that his or her information is going to be collected. A notice may include a posted privacy policy, a Privacy Act statement on forms, or a SORN published in the Federal Register, Notice of Privacy Practice provided to individuals for VHA systems. If notice was provided in the Federal Register, provide the citation.

Notice is provided in multiple ways:

The VHA Notice of Privacy Practice (NOPP)

https://www.va.gov/vhapublications/ViewPublication.asp?pub_ID=9946
explains the collection and use of protected health information to individuals receiving health care from VA. The NOPP is mailed every three years or when there is a major change to all enrolled Veterans. Non Veterans receiving care are provided the notice at the time of their encounter.

Notice is provided in person during individual interviews or in writing via the Privacy Act statement on forms and applications completed by the individual.

Notice is provided in the Federal Register with the publication of the SORN: SORN 121VA10 "National Patient Databases – VA, https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-04-12/pdf/2023-07638.pdf.

Additional notice is provided through this Privacy Impact Assessment, which is available online, as required by the eGovernment Act of 2002, Pub.L. 107–347 §208(b)(1)(B)(iii), the Department of Veterans Affairs and the following VA System of Record Notices (SORNs) which are published in the *Federal Register* and available online.

6.1b If notice was not provided, explain why. If it was provided, attach a copy of the current notice.

Notice is provided as described in 6.1a above.

6.1c Describe how the notice provided for the collection of information is adequate to inform those affected by the system that their information has been collected and is being used appropriately. Provide information on any notice provided on forms or on Web sites associated with the collection.

See description provided in 6.1a above.

6.2 Do individuals have the opportunity and right to decline to provide information? If so, is a penalty or denial of service attached?

This question is directed at whether the person from or about whom information is collected can decline to provide the information and if so, whether a penalty or denial of service is attached. This question is related to privacy control IP-1, Consent, IP-2, Individual Access, and IP-3, Redress.

Information is requested when it is necessary to administer benefits to veterans and other potential beneficiaries. While an individual may choose not to provide information, this may prevent them from obtaining the benefits necessary to them. Declination may result in the implant not being placed if the information is required by the FDA. The individual shall not be denied any right, benefit, or privilege provided by law because of refusal to disclose to VHA an SSN (see 38 CFR 1.575(a)).

6.3 Do individuals have the right to consent to particular uses of the information? If so, how does the individual exercise the right?

This question is directed at whether an individual may provide consent for specific uses, or the consent is given to cover all uses (current or potential) of his or her information. If specific consent is required, how would the individual consent to each use? This question is related to privacy control IP-1, Consent.

VHA permits individuals to agree to the collection of their personally identifiable information (PII) using paper and electronic forms that include Privacy Act Statements outlining why the information is being collected, how it will be used and what Privacy Act system of records the information will be stored. In addition, information is collected verbally from individuals. These individuals are made aware of why data is collected through the VHA Notice of Privacy Practices and conversations with VHA employees. VA Forms are reviewed by the facility periodically to ensure compliance with various requirements including that Privacy Act Statements are on forms collecting personal information from Veterans or individuals. VHA uses PII and PHI only as legally permitted including obtaining authorizations were required. Where legally required VHA obtains signed, written authorizations from individuals prior to releasing, disclosing or sharing PII and PHI. The SORNs listed above states that individuals who wish to contest information in the system of records contains information about them should contact the system or records owner.

Individuals who want to restrict the use of their information should submit a written request to the facility Privacy Officer where they are receiving their care.

6.4 PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT: Notice

Describe the potential risks associated with potentially insufficient notice and what steps, if any, are currently being taken to mitigate those identified risks. (Work with your System ISSO to complete all Privacy Risk questions inside the document this section).

Consider the following FIPPs below to assist in providing a response:

Principle of Transparency: Has sufficient notice been provided to the individual?

<u>Principle of Use Limitation:</u> Is the information used only for the purpose for which notice was provided either directly to the individual or through a public notice? What procedures are in place to ensure that information is used only for the purpose articulated in the notice? This question is related to privacy control TR-1, Privacy Notice, AR-2, Privacy Impact and Risk Assessment, and UL-1, Internal Use.

Follow the format below:

<u>Privacy Risk:</u> There is a risk that Veterans and other members of the public will not know that the TAITAM system exists or that it collects, maintains, and/or disseminates Personally Identifiable Information (PII) and other Sensitive Personal Information (SPI) about them.

Mitigation: The VHA Office of Community Care mitigates this by the common practice of providing the NOPP when Veterans apply for benefits. Additionally, new NOPPs are mailed to beneficiaries when there is a change in regulation. Employees and contractors are required to review, sign, and abide by the National Rules of Behavior on a yearly basis as required by VA Handbook 6500 as well as complete annual mandatory Information Security and Privacy Awareness training. Additional mitigation is provided by ensuring that it provides individuals notice of information collection and notice of the system's existence through the methods discussed in question 6.1

Section 7. Access, Redress, and Correction

The following questions are directed at an individual's ability to ensure the accuracy of the information collected about him or her.

7.1 What are the procedures that allow individuals to gain access to their information?

These questions are related to privacy control IP-2, Individual Access, and AR-8, Accounting of Disclosures.

7.1a Cite any procedures or regulations your program has in place that allow access to information. These procedures, at a minimum, should include the agency's FOIA/Privacy Act practices, but may also include additional access provisions. For example, if your program has a customer satisfaction unit, that information, along with phone and email contact information, should be listed in this section in addition to the agency's procedures. See 5 CFR 294 and the VA FOIA Web page at https://department.va.gov/foia/ to obtain information about FOIA points of contact and information about agency FOIA processes.

There are several ways a veteran or other beneficiary may access information about them. The Department of Veterans' Affairs has created the MyHealthEVet program to allow online access to their medical records. More information on this program and how to sign up to participate can be found online at http://www.myhealth.va.gov/index.html. Veterans and other individuals may also request copies of their medical records and other records containing personal data from the medical facility's Release of Information (ROI) office. VHA Directive 1605.01, Privacy and Release of Information, Paragraph 7 outlines policy and procedures for VHA and its staff to provide individuals with access to and copies of their PII in compliance with the Privacy Act and HIPAA Privacy Rule requirements. VHA also created VA form 10-5345a for use by individuals in requesting copies of their health information under right of access.VA Form 10-5345a is voluntary but does provide an easy way for individual to request their records.

7.1b If the system is exempt from the access provisions of the Privacy Act, please explain the basis for the exemption or cite the source where this explanation may be found, for example, a Final Rule published in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)?

This system is not exempt from Privacy Act.

7.1c If the system is not a Privacy Act system, please explain what procedures and regulations are in place that covers an individual gaining access to his or her information?

This system is not exempt from Privacy Act.

7.2 What are the procedures for correcting inaccurate or erroneous information?

Describe the procedures and provide contact information for the appropriate person to whom such issues should be addressed? If the correction procedures are the same as those given in question 7.1, state as much. This question is related to privacy control IP-3, Redress, and IP-4, Complaint Management.

Individuals are provided the opportunity to submit a request for change in medical record via the amendment process. An amendment is the authorized alteration of health information by modification, correction, addition, or deletion. An individual can request an alteration to their health information by making a formal written request mailed or delivered to the VA health care facility that maintains the record. The request must be in writing and adequately describe the specific information the individual believes to be inaccurate, incomplete, irrelevant, or untimely and the reason for this belief.

A request for amendment of information contained in a system of records must be delivered to the System Manager, or designee, for the concerned VHA system of records, and the facility Privacy Officer (PO), or designee, to be date stamped; and is filed appropriately. In reviewing requests to amend or correct records, the System Manager must be guided by the criteria set forth in VA regulation 38 CFR 1.579. That is, VA must maintain in its records only such information about an individual that is accurate, complete, timely, relevant, and necessary.

Individuals have the right to review and change their contact or demographic information at time of appointment or upon arrival to the VA facility and/or submit a change of address request form to the Privacy Officer for processing.

7.3 How are individuals notified of the procedures for correcting their information?

How are individuals made aware of the procedures for correcting his or her information? This may be through notice at collection or other similar means. This question is meant to address the risk that even if procedures exist to correct information, if an individual is not made fully aware of the existence of those procedures, then the benefits of the procedures are significantly weakened. This question is related to privacy control IP-3, Redress, and IP-4, Complaint Management.

Veterans are informed of the amendment process by many resources to include the VHA Notice of Privacy Practice (NOPP) which states:

Right to Request Amendment of Health Information.

You have the right to request an amendment (correction) to your health information in our records if you believe it is incomplete, inaccurate, untimely, or unrelated to your care.

You must submit your request in writing, specify the information that you want corrected, and provide a reason to support your request for amendment. All amendment requests should be submitted to the facility Privacy Officer at the VHA health care facility that maintains your information.

If your request for amendment is denied, you will be notified of this decision in writing and provided appeal rights. In response, you may do any of the following:

- File an appeal
- File a "Statement of Disagreement"
- Ask that your initial request for amendment accompany all future disclosures of the disputed health information.

Additional notice is provided through the SORS listed in 6.1 of this PIA and through the Release of Information Office where care is received.

7.4 If no formal redress is provided, what alternatives are available to the individual?

Redress is the process by which an individual gains access to his or her records and seeks corrections or amendments to those records. Redress may be provided through the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), and also by other processes specific to a program, system, or group of systems. Example: Some projects allow users to directly access and correct/update their information online. This helps ensures data accuracy.

This question is related to privacy control IP-3, Redress, and IP-4, Complaint Management.

Formal redress via the amendment process is available to all individuals, as stated in questions 7.1-7.3.

7.5 PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT: Access, redress, and correction

Discuss what risks there currently are related to the Department's access, redress, and correction policies and procedures for this system and what, if any, steps have been taken to mitigate those risks. For example, if a project does not allow individual access, the risk of inaccurate data needs to be discussed in light of the purpose of the project. For example, providing access to ongoing law enforcement activities could negatively impact the program's effectiveness because the individuals involved might change their behavior. (Work with your System ISSO to complete all Privacy Risk questions inside the document this section).

Consider the following FIPPs below to assist in providing a response:

<u>Principle of Individual Participation:</u> Is the individual provided with the ability to find out whether a project maintains a record relating to him?

<u>Principle of Individual Participation:</u> If access and/or correction is denied, then is the individual provided notice as to why the denial was made and how to challenge such a denial?

<u>Principle of Individual Participation:</u> Is there a mechanism by which an individual is able to prevent information about him obtained for one purpose from being used for other purposes without his knowledge?

This question is related to privacy control IP-3, Redress.

Follow the format below:

<u>Privacy Risk:</u> There is a risk that individuals will not know the relevant procedures for gaining access to, correcting, or contesting their information.

<u>Mitigation:</u> The risk of incorrect information in an individual's records is mitigated by authenticating information when possible. Additionally, staff verifies information in medical records and corrects information identified as incorrect during each patient's medical appointments.

The NOPP discusses the process for requesting an amendment to one's records.

The Release of Information (ROI) office is available to assist Veterans with obtaining access to their health records and other records containing personal information.

The Veterans' Health Administration (VHA) established MyHealtheVet program to provide Veterans remote access to their medical records. The Veteran must enroll and have access to the premium account to obtain access to all the available features.

In addition, VHA Directive 1605.01 Privacy and Release of Information establishes procedures for Veterans to have their records amended where appropriate.

Section 8. Technical Access and Security

The following questions are intended to describe technical safeguards and security measures.

8.1 What procedures are in place to determine which users may access the system, and are they documented?

These questions are related to privacy control AR-7, Privacy-Enhanced System Design and Development.

8.1a Describe the process by which an individual receives access to the system?

Individuals receive access to the TAITAM system by gainful employment in the VA or upon being awarded a contract that requires access to TAITAM system. Upon employment, the Office of Information & Technology (OIT) creates computer and network access accounts as determined by employment positions assigned. Users are not assigned to software packages or network connections that are not part of their assigned duties or within their assigned work area. Veterans' Health Administration (VHA) Supervisors are required to review and approve an individual's initial and additional requests for access. Approval process is documented and maintained by the Information Technology (IT) office and the Information Security Officer (ISO).

8.1b Identify users from other agencies who may have access to the system and under what roles these individuals have access to the system. Who establishes the criteria for what PII can be shared?

No other agencies have access to PII/PHI data within TrackCore.

8.1c Describe the different roles in general terms that have been created to provide access to the system? For example, certain users may have "read-only" access while others may be permitted to make certain amendments or changes to the information.

RN roles allow access to document patient information in the system for any implant used on a patient during a procedure. Access is limited to documentation and the staff with this access type cannot access inventory items or change inventory records. They can change patient related records however all changes are tracked.

Admin roles can access the entire system and are responsible for ensuring records are correct, errors are fixed, can pull reports to look back for information such as for a recall of an implant.

8.2 Will VA contractors have access to the system and the PII? If yes, what involvement will contractors have with the design and maintenance of the system? Has a contractor confidentiality agreement, Business Associate Agreement (BAA), or a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) been developed for contractors who work on the system?

If so, how frequently are contracts reviewed and by whom? Describe the necessity of the access provided to contractors to the system and whether clearance is required. If Privacy Roles and Responsibilities have been established to restrict certain users to different access levels, please describe the roles and associated access levels. Explain the need for VA contractors to have access to the PII. This question is related to privacy control AR-3, Privacy Requirements for Contractors, and Service Providers.

| Yes, contractors will have | CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEE | APR 2014 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| access to the TAITAM system. | WHISTLEBLOWER RIGHTS | |
| Contracts are reviewed | AND REQUIREMENT TO | |
| annually by the Contracting | INFORM EMPLOYEES OF | |
| Officer Representative (COR). | WHISTLEBLOWER RIGHTS | |
| Clearance levels are | | |
| determined by the COR and | | |
| position sensitivity level and | | |
| risk designation. Access is | | |
| reviewed annually, and | | |
| verification of annual Privacy | | |
| and Information Security | | |
| Training and VA Rules of | | |
| Behavior signatures are | | |
| validated by the COR. All | | |
| contractors must abide by the | | |
| following contract terms and | | |
| conditions included by | | |
| reference in their contract. | | |
| 52.203-17 | | |
| 52.224-18 | COMMERCIAL AND | JUL 2016 |
| | GOVERNMENT ENTITY | |
| | CODE MAINTENANCE | |

| 52.224-1 | PRIVACY ACT | APR 1984 |
|-----------|-------------------|----------|
| | NOTIFICATION | |
| 52.224-2 | PRIVACY | APR 1984 |
| 52.232-40 | PROVIDING | DEC 2013 |
| | ACCELERATTED | |
| | PAYMENTS TO SMALL | |
| | BUSINESS | |
| | SUBCONTRACTORS | |

8.3 Describe what privacy training is provided to users either generally or specifically relevant to the program or system?

VA offers privacy and security training. Each program or system may offer training specific to the program or system that touches on information handling procedures and sensitivity of information. Please describe how individuals who have access to PII are trained to handle it appropriately. This question is related to privacy control AR-5, Privacy Awareness and Training.

All users of the TAITAM system are required to complete annual privacy and information security training, as well as to read and agree to VA Rules of Behavior.

8.4 Has Authorization and Accreditation (A&A) been completed for the system?

8.4a If Yes, provide:

The Security Plan Status: Approved

- 1. The System Security Plan Status Date: 15 May 2023
- 2. The Authorization Status: ATO Granted
- 3. The Authorization Date: 01 Jun 2023
- 4. The Authorization Termination Date: 31 May 2024
- 5. The Risk Review Completion Date: In progress
- 6. The FIPS 199 classification of the system Moderate/Low/Low

Please note that all systems containing SPI are categorized at a minimum level of "moderate" under Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 199.

8.4b If No or In Process, provide your Initial Operating Capability (IOC) date.

Section 9 - Technology Usage

The following questions are used to identify the technologies being used by the IT system or project.

9.1 Does the system use cloud technology? If so, what cloud model is being utilized?

If so, Does the system have a FedRAMP provisional or agency authorization? If the system does use cloud technology, but does not have FedRAMP authorization, explain how the Cloud Service Provider (CSP) solution was assessed and what FedRAMP documents and processes were used for the assessment in order to comply with VA Handbook 6517. Types of cloud models include: Software as a Service (SaaS), Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), Platform as a Service (PaaS),

Commercial off the Shelf (COTS), Desktop as a Service (DaaS), Mobile Backend as a Service (MBaaS), Information Technology Management as a Service (ITMaaS). This question is related to privacy control UL-1, Information Sharing with Third Parties.

Note: For systems utilizing the VA Enterprise Cloud (VAEC), no further responses are required after 9.1. (Refer to question 3.3.1 of the PTA)

TrackCore is hosted on the VAEC MS Azure Government High cloud.

IaaS: Used for the maintenance of the MS SQL database as TrackCore maintains and patches the SQL database environment.

PaaS: Used for the remainder of the TrackCore environment on the cloud.

9.2 Does the contract with the Cloud Service Provider, Contractors and VA customers establish who has ownership rights over data including PII? (Provide contract number and supporting information about PII/PHI from the contract). (Refer to question 3.3.2 of the PTA) This question is related to privacy control AR-3, Privacy Requirements for Contractors, and Service Providers.

N/A

9.3 Will the CSP collect any ancillary data and if so, who has ownership over the ancillary data?

Per NIST 800-144, cloud providers hold significant details about the accounts of cloud consumers that could be compromised and used in subsequent attacks. Ancillary data also involves information the cloud provider collects or produces about customer-related activity in the cloud. It includes data collected to meter and charge for consumption of resources, logs and audit trails, and other such metadata that is generated and accumulated within the cloud environment.

This question is related to privacy control DI-1, Data Quality. N/A

9.4 NIST 800-144 states, "Organizations are ultimately accountable for the security and privacy of data held by a cloud provider on their behalf." Is this principle described in contracts with customers? Why or why not?

What are the roles and responsibilities involved between the organization and cloud provider, particularly with respect to managing risks and ensuring organizational requirements are met? This question is related to privacy control AR-3, Privacy Requirements for Contractors and Service Providers.

N/A

9.5 If the system is utilizing Robotics Process Automation (RPA), please describe the role of the bots.

Robotic Process Automation is the use of software scripts to perform tasks as an automated process that executes in parallel with or in place of human input. For example, will the automation move or touch PII/PHI information. RPA may also be referred to as "Bots" or Artificial Intelligence (AI).

No

Version date: October 1, 2023

Page 25 of 29

Section 10. References

Summary of Privacy Controls by Family

Summary of Privacy Controls by Family

| ID | Privacy Controls | Inherited from T1 SOR or VAEC MS Azure Cloud |
|------|---|--|
| AP | Authority and Purpose | VAEC WIS AZUIC CIOUU |
| AP-1 | Authority to Collect | Inherited |
| AP-2 | Purpose Specification | Hybrid and Inherited |
| AR | Accountability, Audit, and Risk Management | |
| AR-1 | Governance and Privacy Program | Inherited |
| AR-2 | Privacy Impact and Risk Assessment | Hybrid and Inherited |
| AR-3 | Privacy Requirements for Contractors and Service Providers | Hybrid |
| AR-4 | Privacy Monitoring and Auditing | Inherited |
| AR-5 | Privacy Awareness and Training | Inherited |
| AR-7 | Privacy-Enhanced System Design and Development | Not Inherited |
| AR-8 | Accounting of Disclosures | Not Inherited |
| DI | Data Quality and Integrity | |
| DI-1 | Data Quality | Hybrid and Inherited |
| DI-2 | Data Integrity and Data Integrity Board | Not Inherited |
| DM | Data Minimization and Retention | |
| DM-1 | Minimization of Personally Identifiable Information | Not Inherited |
| DM-2 | Data Retention and Disposal | Not Inherited |
| DM-3 | Minimization of PII Used in Testing, Training, and Research | Not Inherited |
| IP | Individual Participation and Redress | |
| IP-1 | Consent | Not Inherited |
| IP-2 | Individual Access | Hybrid and Inherited |
| IP-3 | Redress | Hybrid |
| IP-4 | Complaint Management | Hybrid |
| SE | Security | |
| SE-1 | Inventory of Personally Identifiable Information | Hybrid and Inherited |
| SE-2 | Privacy Incident Response | Hybrid |
| TR | Transparency | |
| TR-1 | Privacy Notice | Hybrid |
| TR-2 | System of Records Notices and Privacy Act Statements | Hybrid and Not Inherited |
| TR-3 | Dissemination of Privacy Program Information | Inherited |
| UL | Use Limitation | |
| UL-1 | Internal Use | Not Inherited |
| UL-2 | Information Sharing with Third Parties | Not Inherited |

Version date: October 1, 2023

Page 26 of 29

| Signature of Responsible Officials |
|---|
| The individuals below attest that the information they provided in this Privacy Impact Assessment is true and accurate. |
| Privacy Officer, Phillip Cauthers |
| Information System Security Officer, ChrysAnn Higginbotham |
| |
| Information System Owner, Alisa Riebe |

APPENDIX A-6.1

The VHA Notice of Privacy Practice (NOPP) https://www.va.gov/vhapublications/ViewPublication.asp?pub_ID=9946

 $SORN\ 121VA10\ "National\ Patient\ Databases-VA,\ \underline{https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2023-04-12/pdf/2023-07638.pdf}.$

Version date: October 1, 2023

Page 28 of 29

HELPFUL LINKS:

General Records Schedule

https://www.archives.gov/records-mgmt/grs.html

National Archives (Federal Records Management):

https://www.archives.gov/records-mgmt/grs

VA Publications:

https://www.va.gov/vapubs/

VA Privacy Service Privacy Hub:

https://dvagov.sharepoint.com/sites/OITPrivacyHub

Notice of Privacy Practice (NOPP):

VHA Notice of Privacy Practices

VHA Directive 1605.04: Notice of Privacy Practices

Version date: October 1, 2023

Page 29 of 29